## BLABY LOCAL PLAN DELIVERY DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT

# **SCHEDULE OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS**

September 2018



#### **BLABY LOCAL PLAN DELIVERY DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT**

#### SCHEDULE OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS

September 2018

#### Introduction

This schedule sets outs the Proposed Main Modifications that the Council is proposing to make, to allow the Blaby Local Plan Delivery DPD to be found sound. These are published for consultation purposes. The Inspector will consider all of the representations that are made on the proposed Main Modifications before reaching her final conclusions on any changes that need to be made to the Local Plan in order for it to be sound and capable of adoption. Her reasons will be set out in her report to the Council which will be published in due course.

The schedule sets out proposed Main Modifications to the plan as submitted by the Council to the Secretary of State for examination on 23 March 2018. The submitted plan was the Blaby Local Plan Delivery DPD (Proposed Submission Version) (November 2017).

Text that is proposed to be deleted is indicated by strikethrough notation, and text that is proposed to be inserted is indicated in bold and is underlined.

The proposed Main Modifications are generally set out in Policy order. There will also consequential amendments to policy and paragraph numbering.

### **SCHEDULE OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS**

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph		Reason
MM1	N/A	NEW	Add new policy and supporting text (after Section 4):	To ensure the Delivery
		Policy/paras	Local Blan Bordon	DPD is effective and
			Local Plan Review	the planning framework is up to date.
			5.1 Blaby District lies within the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing Market	is up to date.
			Area. The Leicester and Leicestershire Housing and Economic Development	
			Needs Assessment (HEDNA) was published in January 2017. It sets out the long	
			term objectively assessed need (OAN) for new housing in each of the local	
			authority areas in the HMA up to 2036. The Council is also mindful that the	
			introduction of the Standardised Methodology contained in the National Planning Policy Framework may have further implications for the OAN.	
			Folicy I famework may have further implications for the OAM.	
			5.2 In order to plan for the level of objectively assessed need identified, the local	
			authorities in the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing market Area (HMA) area	
			are working collaboratively to prepare the joint Leicester and Leicestershire	
			Strategic Growth Plan. The Strategic Growth Plan is expected to be finalised by	
			<u>late 2018.</u>	
			5.3 The District Council will take account of the implications of the Strategic	
			Growth Plan in its next Local Plan which is due to commence on adoption of the	
			Delivery DPD as set out in its latest Local Development Scheme. Policy LP1	
			reflects this commitment.	
			5.4 In addition, in the circumstances that the delivery of housing is significantly	
			and persistently short of the expectation set out in the housing trajectory, a	
			Local Plan Review will be commenced to identify alternative or additional	
			development sites.	
			Policy I DD4 LOCAL DLAN DEVIEW	
			Policy LPR1 LOCAL PLAN REVIEW	
			The circumstances in which a new, full or part, Local Plan will commence	
			(defined as being publication of an invitation to make representations in	
			accordance with Regulation 18 of The Town and Country Planning (Local	
			Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) are specified as follows:	
			a) The adoption by the Council of the Strategic Growth Plan and the	

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			Memorandum of Understanding, which proposes a quantity or spatial approach that is significantly different to that set out in the Local Plan, unless there is sufficient flexibility already provided for within the Plan; or,  b) Changes occur within the HMA to the objectively assessed need for development or the spatial distribution of growth across the HMA, including Blaby, unless there is sufficient flexibility already provided for within the Plan; or,  c) Where monitoring of targets against the housing trajectory identify significant and persistent shortfalls in the delivery of housing.  The new, full or part, Local Plan will be commenced within 6 months of the occurrence of one of the above circumstances and should be submitted for examination within three years from the commencement of the review.  Consequential amendments to create new section and renumber following sections.	
MM2	12-13	SA1/para 3.8	Delete criteria c) of policy SA1 and amend supporting text:  Land North of Hinckley Road, Kirby Muxloe 3.8 The requirements for affordable housing and housing mix are set out in policies CS7 and CS8 of the adopted Core Strategy. Policy DMP8 also seeks a proportion of self-build and custom-build housing and Policy DMP911 also seeks a proportion of accessible and adaptable homes.  SITE ALLOCATIONS POLICY SA1 Land North of Hinckley Road, Kirby Muxloe  Land will be allocated for a minimum of 750 dwellings, of which a minimum of 510 will be delivered during the plan period. The site's boundaries are set out on the Policies Map.  The site should meet the following requirements:  Housing a) Affordable housing 25% affordable homes in accordance with policy CS7 should be provided on the site. The affordable housing mix should be 80% Social / Affordable rent and 20% intermediate housing unless evidence indicates otherwise.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is justified.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			b) A mix of housing A mix of housing to meet local needs in accordance with policy CS8 of the adopted Local Plan Core Strategy 2013  c) Self build and custom build housing 5% of plots are serviced plots for sale to self-builders or custom builders in accordance with policy DMP8.	
MM3	16-17	SA2/Paras 3.19 and 3.23	Amend policy SA2 and supporting text:  Gynsills Lane, Glenfield 3.19 The site secured outline planning permission for 37 houses subject to a section 106 legal agreement. The site is proposed to be allocated in the Local Plan to improve certainty should the current permission lapse. The site could deliver some 9 affordable houses and would be required to make contributions towards open space, education provision, library services and residential travel packs to encourage the use of sustainable transport.  Ratby Lane / Desford Road, Kirby Muxloe 3.23 The site could accommodate some up to 52 houses. Access can be gained from Ratby Lane and Desford Road. The site could deliver some 13 affordable houses and would be required to make contributions towards open space, education provision, library services and residential travel packs to encourage the use of sustainable transport.  SITE ALLOCATIONS POLICY SA2 Smaller Housing Sites in the Principal Urban Area  Land will be allocated for housing at the following sites as set out on the Policies Map. The sites will be required to be developed in conformity with other policies contained within the Blaby Local Plan Core Strategy and Delivery Development Plan Documents. Specific requirements for each of the sites, in addition to these policies, are contained below:  SA2.a Land rear of Gynsills Lane, Glenfield* Land will be allocated for 37 dwellings.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is justified and effective. The site at Gynsills Lane, Glenfield has planning permission for housing and is now under construction.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			The development should:	
			a) Be accessed from Nursery Rise;	
			b) Provide at least 9 affordable units in accordance with Core Strategy policy CS7; and	
			c) Protect important trees on site.	
			*Planning permission has been granted on the site subject to completion of a Section 106 legal agreement.	
			SA2.ba Land at Grange Farm, Leicester Forest East	
			Land will be allocated for 55 dwellings.	
			The development should:	
			a) Be accessed from Warden's Walk;	
			b) Provide at least 13 affordable units in accordance with Core Strategy policy CS7;	
			c) Retain the important trees and hedgerows along the northern boundary and fronting Baines Lane; and	
			d) Provide design solutions and mitigation measures to protect important areas of	
			biodiversity.	
			SA2.e <u>b</u> Land at Webb Close, Leicester Forest East	
			Land will be allocated for 21 dwellings.	
			The development should:	
			a) Be accessed from Webb Close;	
			b) Provide at least 5 affordable units in accordance with Core Strategy policy CS7; and c) Retain and enhance hedgerows to connect to southern boundary.	
			SA2.dc Land at Ratby Lane / Desford Road, Kirby Muxloe	
			Land will be allocated for up to 52 dwellings.	
			The development should:	
			a) Provide at least 13 affordable units in accordance with Core Strategy policy CS7; and	
			b) Improve habitat to enhance diversity and connect to wider landscape, including retention of trees and hedgerows.	
		1		

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
Ref MM4	Page 21	SA3	Amend criteria g) of policy SA3:  SITE ALLOCATIONS POLICY SA3 Employment Site Allocation  Heritage f) The design and layout of any proposal will seek to minimise any impact on designated and non-designated heritage assets. In particular, the design and layout of the site shall seek to retain the integrity of the alignment of the Fosse Way Roman Road by avoiding development (other than necessary access infrastructure) along its length. Opportunities to provide 'interpretation' and increase awareness of the asset will be encouraged. g) Archaeological evaluation shall be undertaken in accordance with a scheme to be agreed with the County Archaeologist prior to any development commencing on the site determination so that the design and layout can respond to the importance of any associated features with the line of the Roman Road. Finds shall be treated	Reason To ensure the Delivery DPD is effective.
			in a manner proportionate to their significance.	
MM5	24	SA4/para 3.37	Amend policy SA4 and supporting text:  3.37 This policy seeks to identify broad locations that will be suitable for gypsy and traveller and travelling show people accommodation where there is a need for sites.  SITE ALLOCATIONS POLICY SA4 Broad Locations for Accommodating Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople	To ensure the Delivery DPD is positively prepared and consistent with national policy.
			Where a need is identified, pProvision will be made for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople meeting the definition for planning purposes through a combination of the development management process and the Delivery DPD, taking into account the most up to date Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment.	
			Sites for new and extensions to existing Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites will be located, in order of preference, at the following locations:  1. Within the defined Settlement Boundaries, as set out on the Policies Map, of the Principal Urban Area of Leicester, Blaby, the Larger Central Villages, the Rural Centre	

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
		r oneyr aragraph	and the Medium Central Villages;  2. Immediately adjoining defined Settlement Boundaries of the Principal Urban Area of Leicester, Blaby, the Larger Central Villages, the Rural Centre and the Medium Central Villages;  3. Within approximately three miles of the Settlement Boundary for the Principal Urban Area of Leicester, Blaby, the Larger Central Villages and the Rural Centre or within approximately two miles of the Settlement Boundary for the Medium Central Villages.  Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople will not be supported in areas defined as Green Wedge or Areas of Separation.  In addition, the proposal will be supported unless it is:  a) Contrary to other policies of the Local Plan, including CS9 Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers;  b) Within close proximity to major transport routes and/or air quality management areas and/or where air quality or noise pollution this could adversely affect the health or living conditions of the residents;  c) Adversely affected by physical constraints such as flood risk;  d) Demonstrated to cause adverse affects to protected areas, including wildlife and geology designations and scheduled ancient monuments; and  e) Of a scale that causes overdevelopment in terms of the proposal or by extension to an existing site.  The Council will undertake a review of the evidence base and work with the Leicester and Leicestershire local authorities to establish, if the review shows a requirement, the most appropriate and deliverable locations for additional transit provision. This information will inform the Local Plan Review.	
MM6			Amend policy DM4:  DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 4 Connection to Digital Infrastructure  All new build major residential and commercial development must should be served by a fast, affordable and reliable broadband connection in line with the latest Government target. Developers will liaise with broadband infrastructure providers to ensure that a suitable connection is made.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is effective.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			The broadband connection will need to be directly accessed from the nearest exchange and suitable for easy access to enable future repair, replacement and upgrading.  Exceptions may will be made to the above, where applicants have demonstrated through consultation with broadband infrastructure providers, that this would not be possible, practical or economically viable.	
MM7	42-43	DM10/Paras 4.42, 4.45, 4.47, 4.49, 4.50, 4.51, 4.52 and 4.53	Amend policy DM10 and supporting text:  4.42 This policy requires a proportion of plots on large housing sites to be provided as serviced plots and to be marketed to self and custom builders supports proposals for self and custom build housing in suitable locations.  4.45 Serviced building plots are shovel-ready parcels of land with planning permission, laid out and ready for construction with access and utilities/services provided to the plot boundary.  4.47 The Self-build and custom housebuilding register provides valuable information on the demand for self-build and custom housebuilding in Blaby District. It forms a key part of the evidence base of demand for this type of housing. The register shows that between 1st April 2016 and 1st April 2017-2018, 14 34 individuals were accepted for entry on the register for Blaby District. Of these, 5 13 individuals indicated that they had also applied to enter the registers for one or more nearby areas.  4.49 From a development point of view, key issues with this market are associated with skills and risk: whilst there may be a notable number of people with an 'interest' in self-build, there is in some circumstances a significant financial outlay, risk and time-cost associated with self-build.  4.50 The HEDNA considers that most new delivery will be on small windfall sites but also recognises that there is some potential through policy to encourage developers of larger schemes to designate parts of these as plots available for self and custom build. However, it is likely to be difficult to demonstrate concrete evidence of demand at a local level, albeit those local authorities are required to maintain registers of those with an interest in doing so.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is justified.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			custom build plots by:	
			<ul> <li>Requiring developers to supply 5% of dwellings on sites over 100 dwellings as</li> </ul>	
			serviced plots Supporting proposals for self and custom build housing in	
			suitable locations and to market those plots;	
			Investigating whether the Council has any land for self and custom build	
			housing opportunities;	
			<ul> <li>Making available details of sites with planning permission through the annually produced Residential Land Availability Report.</li> </ul>	
			4.52 The policy requires a proportion of plots on large housing sites to be provided as	
			serviced plots and to be marketed for self and custom builders. In order to ensure	
			adequate plots are marketed, the policy sets out a minimum size. Analysis of those	
			included on the Council's Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Register reveals that	
			50% require a plot size of 300sqm or above and 33% require plot size of between 150	
			and 300sqm. The minimum plot requirements reflect this in terms of the number of bedrooms.	
			4.53 The marketing of the plots should include contact with people on the Council's	
			Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Register and via the National Custom and Self-	
			build Association (NaCSBA) portal or similar. The price of marketed plots must be	
			made available at their market value or less.	
			DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 10	
			Self and Custom Build Housing	
			Proposals for self and custom build housing will be supported in suitable	
			locations. The Council will maintain a register of prospective self and custom	
			house builders and have regard to the register in its decision making, plan	
			making, housing and regeneration functions.	
			Development proposals over 100 dwellings will require developers to supply 5%	
			of a site's dwelling capacity as serviced plots for self and custom build housing	
			unless the Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Register shows a lower level of	
			demand. The plots will be provided in accordance with the following criteria:	
			a) With at least outline planning permission;	
			b) At least 5 dwellings in a single site location to be developed in accordance	
			with an agreed design code submitted with the planning application;	

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			c) Self and custom build plots should be of a size at least equal to that of those for dwellings of 2, 3 and 4 bedrooms on the main development site. The split will be determined by the Council based on examination of the Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Register; d) Marketed plots will be made available at their market value or less; and e) Where it has been demonstrated that plots have been made available and marketed appropriately for at least 12 months and have not sold for self and custom build housing, the plot(s) may either remain on the open market or be built out by the developer.	
MM8	43	DM11/para 4.58	Amend policy DM11 and supporting text:  4.58 The information from the datasets shows that the optional building regulation M4(2) for accessible and adaptable homes is justified. The evidence points to a requirement of 15% of new homes to meet the building regulation M4(2) would be the minimum considered appropriate. Three options for the requirement for the optional building regulations M4(2) of 5%, 10% and 15% were tested for viability. The final figure takes account of the viability testing. For larger sites, over 35 20 dwellings, 5% of the dwellings will need to meet the higher building standard regulations (M4(2) for accessible and adaptable dwellings. This will apply to all tenures.  DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 11 Accessible and Adaptable Homes  Development proposals for housing of 35 20 dwellings or more must meet the Building Regulations Standard M4(2) for 5% of the dwellings unless:  a) site specific factors such as vulnerability to flooding, site topography, and other circumstances make a specific site less suitable for M4(2) compliant dwellings, particularly where step free access cannot be achieved or is not viable.; and/or,  b) the applicant can demonstrate that the use of this Building Regulation Standard is not viable through an independent viability assessment to be submitted with the application.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is justified and effective.  Following a review of the evidence base, the Council now considers that the policy should be applied to sites of 20 dwellings or more. The Local Plan Viability Study tested the optional Building Regulations Standard M4(2) on major development proposals (i.e. sites of 10 dwellings or more).  The study states that the delivery of M4(2) compliant dwellings would be viable for sites (of 10 dwellings and above) where there is little in the way of abnormal costs

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
				expected.
				A threshold of 20 dwellings has been proposed as a 5% requirement for M4(2) compliant dwellings on schemes of 10 would only deliver 0.5 of a dwelling. To deliver one M4(2) compliant dwelling at 5%, a threshold of 20 dwellings or more would need to be applied.  Finally the policy also provides flexibility by taking into account potential viability issues on a case by case
				basis. These changes are considered necessary to make the policy both justified and effective.
MM9	46	DM12	Amend policy DM12:  DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 12 Designated and Non-designated Heritage Assets  Where a development proposal affects a heritage asset, including Scheduled Monuments, Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas or other non designated heritage assets, or the setting of such assets, the applicant will need to submit a heritage impact assessment to demonstrate:	To ensure the Delivery DPD is consistent with national policy.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			a) An understanding of the heritage asset and its significance; b) The impact of the development proposal on the heritage asset and its setting and the harm to the significance of the asset; c) How any harm will be outweighed by the substantial public benefits of the proposal; and d) How the proposal is consistent with the points (a) to (e), where appropriate, in Core Strategy Policy CS20.	
			All new development should seek to avoid harm to the heritage assets of the District. Development proposals that conserve or enhance the historic environment will be supported.	
			<ul> <li>All proposals affecting either a designated or non-designated heritage asset and/or its setting will need to submit a statement which includes the following:         <ul> <li>a description of the heritage asset and its setting, proportionate to its significance;</li> <li>a clear identification of the impacts of the development proposal on the heritage asset and its setting;</li> <li>a clear justification as to why the impacts could be considered acceptable; and</li> <li>demonstrate how the proposal is consistent with Core Strategy Policy CS20</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
			The Council will consider the submitted information having regard to the importance of the heritage asset(s) as follows:	
			Designated heritage assets Designated heritage assets and their settings (including Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas) will be given the highest level of protection to ensure that they are conserved and enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance and contribution to the historic environment.	
			Where substantial harm is identified, proposals will only be supported in exceptional circumstances in accordance with national planning guidance.  Where a less than substantial level of harm is identified the scale of harm will be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal.	

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
			Non-designated heritage assets  A balanced consideration will be applied to proposals which may impact non-designated heritage assets. Proposals will be supported where the benefits of the scheme are considered to outweigh the scale of any harm or loss, having regard to the significance of the heritage asset.	
MM10	48	DM13	Amend policy DM13:  DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 13 Land Contamination and Pollution  Development proposals will be required to clearly demonstrate that any unacceptable adverse impacts related to land contamination, landfill, land stability and pollution (water, air, noise, light and soils) can be satisfactorily mitigated.  For the following circumstances, development proposals will be supported where it is they are accompanied by a detailed investigation of the issues and appropriate mitigation measures are identified to avoid any adverse impact upon the site or adjacent areas:  a) Land that is (or has the potential to be) subject to land contamination or land stability issues; b) Close to an aquifer or surface water feature that may result in groundwater or surface water pollution; c) Close to or within an air quality management area or key transport corridors that may be affected by air quality; d) Close to a source of noise or light pollution and/or the proposal may be a source of noise or light pollution; e) Soils of high environmental value, including best and most versatile agricultural land.	To ensure the Delivery DPD is effective.
MM11	51	DM15/Para 8.87	Amend policy DM15 and supporting text.  4.87 The Minerals Safeguarding Areas will be defined by Leicestershire County Council in the emerging Minerals and Waste Local Plan. Detailed policies for Minerals Safeguarding Areas are set out in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan. To enable Policy DM15 is included to help developers to understand the general locations where these policies will apply, the Minerals Safeguarding Areas will set out on the Policies Map when the details are available. Leicestershire County Council has	To ensure the Delivery DPD is consistent with national policy.

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason			
			published areas for mineral safeguarding as part of work on the emerging Minerals and Waste Local Plan.				
			DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICY 15 Minerals Safeguarding Areas				
			Development will not be supported in locations where it would sterilise or prejudice the viable extraction of mineral reserves as proposals in areas identified for mineral safeguarding will need to ensure that mineral resources of national or local significance are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development. The policy approach is set out in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan. The locations will be set out on the Policies Map minerals safeguarding areas are set out in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan and include:  a) Land in Soar and Sence Valleys; b) Land in the vicinity of Croft Quarry.				
MM12	61	Monitoring	Amend the Policy to include reference to Policy LPR1 Local Plan Review.	To provide Policy LPR1			
		Framework Policy CS1	Amend the Target for Policy CS1 – Strategy for locating new development:  By 31 March 2021: a) 6,195 6,029 houses in the District b) 2,472 2,362 houses in the PUA c) 3,723 3,667 houses in the non-PUA  By 31 March 2026:	Local Plan Review with a link to the Monitoring Framework. To update targets to reflect residential land availability data and Housing Trajectory at 1 April 2018			
			a) 8,486 8,568 houses in the District b) 4,616 4,635 houses in the PUA c) 3,870 3,933 houses in the non-PUA	7,0111 2010			
MM13	63	Monitoring Framework Policy CS5 Policy SA2	Amend the target for Policy CS5 – Housing Distribution and New Housing Land Allocations SA2:  Number of new houses completed on small site housing land allocations SA2 by: a) 31 March 2023 – 37 0 houses b) 31 March 2028 – 165 128 houses c) 31 March 2029 – 9 128 houses	To update targets to reflect residential land availability data and Housing Trajectory at 1 April 2018			

Ref	Page	Policy/Paragraph	Main Modification	Reason
MM14	64	Monitoring	Amend the Target for Policy CS7 – Affordable housing:	To update targets to
		Framework	Number of affordable houses in the District by:	reflect residential land
		Policy CS7	a) 31 March 2016 – 696 dwellings	availability data and
			b) 31 March 2021 – <del>1,242</del> <b>1,201</b> dwellings	Housing Trajectory at 1
			c) 31 March 2026 – <del>1,726</del> <b>1,766</b> dwellings	April 2018
			d) 31 March 2019 – 1,960 dwellings	
MM15	68	Monitoring	Amend the Policy reference from Policy DM8 to Policy DM10.	To correct error and to
		Framework		reflect amendments to
		Policy DM8	Delete the second Indicator:	Policy DM10
			Number of serviced plots of land offered by the landowner or developer for self- and	
			custom-build housing, and the number subsequently developed	
MM16	70	Updated	See Appendix 1 for updated trajectory	To update the Housing
		Housing		Trajectory to 1 April
		Trajectory		2018

### APPENDIX 1 - Blaby District Local Plan (Delivery DPD) Housing Trajectory (2006-2029) (at 1 April 2018)

	Year	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	Total
	Past Completions	218	329	197	180	206	269	294	305	405	733	743	588												4467
	Large site commitments (sites of 10 or more dwellings)													213	215	261	200	135	80	40	25	0	0	0	1169
D	Small site commitments (sites of less than 10 dwellings)													77	101	65	53	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	320
I	Strategic Growth Area (adjoining the PUA)													150	200	250	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	3120
S	Additional dwellings remaining to be planned													0	0	30	60	60	80	117	90	70	71	60	638
R	Total projected Provision													440	516	606	628	534	475	472	430	385	386	375	5247
ı	Cumulative provision	218	547	744	924	1130	1399	1693	1998	2403	3136	3879	4467	4907	5423	6029	6657	7191	7666	8138	8568	8953	9339	9714	
С	PLAN - Annual requirement	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	380	8740
Т	Cumulative requirement	380	760	1140	1520	1900	2280	2660	3040	3420	3800	4180	4560	4940	5320	5700	6080	6460	6840	7220	7600	7980	8360	8740	
	MONITOR - No. of dwellings above or below cumulative requirement	-162	-213	-396	-596	-770	-881	-967	-1042	-1017	-664	-301	-93	-33	103	329	577	731	826	918	968	973	979	974	
	MANAGE - Annual requirement taking into account of past completions and projections	380	387	390	400	411	423	432	440	449	453	431	405	388	383	369	339	298	258	215	151	57	-107	-599	
	Past Completions	165	266	85	55	51	26	69	57	133	236	182	207												1532
	Large site commitments (sites of 10 or more dwellings)													48	14	38	72	75	40	40	25	0	0	0	352
	Small site commitments (sites of less than 10 dwellings)													29	40	31	30	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	139
	Strategic Growth Area (adjoining the PUA)													150	200	250	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	3120
Р	Additional dwellings remaining to be planned													0	0	30	60	60	80	117	90	70	71	60	638
U	Total projected Provision													227	254	349	477	459	435	472	430	385	386	375	4249
Α	Cumulative provision	165	431	516	571	622	648	717	774	907	1143	1325	1532	1759	2013	2362	2839	3298	3733	4205	4635	5020	5406	5781	
	PLAN - Annual requirement	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	5750
	Cumulative requirement	250	500	750	1000	1250	1500	1750	2000	2250	2500	2750	3000	3250	3500	3750	4000	4250	4500	4750	5000	5250	5500	5750	
	MONITOR - No. of dwellings above or below cumulative requirement	-85	-69	-234	-429	-628	-852	-1033	-1226	-1343	-1357	-1425	-1468	-1491	-1487	-1388	-1161	-952	-767	-545	-365	-230	-94	31	
	MANAGE - Annual requirement taking into account of past completions and projections	250	254	253	262	273	285	300	315	332	346	354	369	383	399	415	424	416	409	403	386	372	365	344	
	Past Completions	53	63	112	125	155	243	225	248	272	497	561	381												2935
	Large site commitments (sites of 10 or more dwellings)													165	201	223	128	60	40	0	0	0	0	0	817
Ν	Small site commitments (sites of less than 10 dwellings)													48	61	34	23	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	181
0	Additional dwellings remaining to be planned													0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ν	Total projected Provision													213	262	257	151	75	40	0	0	0	0	0	998
P	Cumulative provision	53	116	228	353	508	751	976	1224	1496	1993	2554	2935	3148	3410	3667	3818	3893	3933	3933	3933	3933	3933	3933	
Ū	PLAN - Annual requirement	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	2990
A	Cumulative requirement	130	260	390	520	650	780	910	1040	1170	1300	1430	1560	1690	1820	1950	2080	2210	2340	2470	2600	2730	2860	2990	
	MONITOR - No. of dwellings above or below cumulative requirement MANAGE - Annual requirement	-77	-144	-162	-167	-142	-29	66	184	326	693	1124	1375	1458	1590	1717	1738	1683	1593	1463	1333	1203	1073	943	
	taking into account of past completions and projections	130	134	137	138	139	138	132	126	118	107	77	36	5	-16	-47	-85	-118	-151	-189	-236	-314	-472	-943	